

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Ser. No.	Filed:	Inventor(s):	Atty Dkt:
10501315	2006-02-09	B. To	105SR-019
Title: Light Fitting			
Examiner: D. Makiya		Art Unit: 2885	Conf# 6117

Mail Stop AF
Comm'r for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Dear Sir:

This correspondence is sent in complete and timely response to the Office action mailed 27 March 2008. Applicant requests reexamination and reconsideration of the subject application, pursuant to and consistent with 37 C.F.R. §1.104 and §1.112, and withdrawal of the rejections, in light of the following remarks.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §1.102

The rejection of claims 34-36, 41-42, 49-52, and 56-58 as anticipated by Caluori (US 5609414) is respectfully traversed.

The rejection contends that Caluori describes a resilient fascia container allowing its removal by translation, citing to col. 1, ln. 15-20, and that the lamp is resiliently biased toward the front, citing to col. 3, ln. 11-21, and col. 5, ln. 13-15, in Caluori. Unfortunately, none of the citations appear to support the contentions stated in the rejection: the first citation relates to recessed "pot lights"; the second to the electrical socket and supply wire; and the third to spring clips on the side of the housing. The rejection also seems to ignore applicant's claim language reciting that the lamp is *resiliently* biased towards the front. Directly contrary, Caluori discloses that the lamp is moved towards the front, *against an opposing bias provided by clips (40)*, by tightening the adjusting screws (70) (entirety of paragraph bridging cols. 4 and 5). Accordingly,